

TC Evaluation of PEV

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

File Edit View F >> < >> Links >> Address <http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1> Go

ABET Performance Appraisal

Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEV/TC Name: Johnston, David West **Visit Dates(Cycle):** 11/23/2008-11/25/2008
Institution: Nebraska-Lincoln, University of **Program Name:** Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (BS)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> Final

Cancel and Back to Main

Technically Current Performance Indicators:

- Demonstrated knowledge of general criteria
- Demonstrated knowledge of program criteria
- Demonstrated knowledge of accreditation policies and procedures
- Quality of statement reflected technical competence

If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.
 If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)
 If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)
 If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Next

Copyright © ABET, Inc.

Done Internet

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

Please provide your response to each of the competencies using the scale below. For any rating of 1 or 2 you must explain your rationale for the rating under "Comments".

	Needs Improvement 1	2	Met Expectations 3	4	Exceeded Expectations 5	Not able to evaluate
Knowledge of general criteria	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Some misunderstandings of general criteria			Demonstrated knowledge of general criteria		Exceptional ability to explain general criteria to others	Not able to evaluate
Comments:	<input type="text"/>					
Knowledge of program criteria	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Some misunderstandings of program criteria			Demonstrated knowledge of program criteria		Exceptional ability to explain program criteria to others	Not able to evaluate
Comments:	<input type="text"/>					
Knowledge of accreditation policies and procedures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Some misunderstandings of accreditation policies and procedures			Demonstrated knowledge of accreditation policies and procedures		Exceptional ability to explain accreditation policies and procedures to others	Not able to evaluate
Comments:	<input type="text"/>					
Technically current	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Was unaware of technical advances in their professional field			Quality of statement reflected technical competence		Was very aware of the most current advances in their professional field	Not able to evaluate
Comments:	<input type="text"/>					

Next

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

Performance Appraisal

Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEV/TC Name: Johnston, David West **Visit Dates(Cycle):** 11/23/2008-11/25/2008
Institution: Nebraska-Lincoln, University of **Program Name:** Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (BS)

Technically Current >> **Effective Communicator** >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> Final

Cancel and Back to Main

Effective Communicator Performance Indicators:

- Provided updates to Team Chair when requested
- Written exit statement was effectively done. Key points were highlighted
- Effectively communicated the most important points during the exit meeting
- Was non-prescriptive in suggestions aimed at encouraging innovation and continuous improvement

If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.
 If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)
 If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)
 If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Copyright© ABET, Inc.

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

Please provide your response to each of the competencies using the scale below. For any rating of 1 or 2 you must explain your rationale for the rating under "Comments".

	Needs Improvement 1	2	Met Expectations 3	4	Exceeded Expectations 5	Not able to evaluate
Information Flow	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	Did not keep Team Chair informed of activities or contact with institution representatives		Provided updates to Team Chair when requested		Was pro-active in keeping Team Chair informed	Not able to evaluate
Comments:	<input type="text"/>					
Exit Statement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	Written exit statement required extensive editing		Written exit statement was effectively done. Key points were highlighted		Exceptionally written exit statement. Little or no edits required from Team Chair.	Not able to evaluate
Comments:	<input type="text"/>					
Exit Meeting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	Exit meeting lacked sensitivity and/or did not provide specific suggestions for improvement		Effectively communicated the most important points during the exit meeting		Demonstrated particular skill in conveying the most important points during exit meeting in a sensitive manner.	Not able to evaluate
Comments:	<input type="text"/>					
Suggestions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	Suggestions made were too prescriptive or biased		Was non-prescriptive in suggestions aimed at encouraging innovation and continuous improvement		Very effectively communicated specific and helpful suggestions for continuous improvement and innovation	Not able to evaluate
Comments:	<input type="text"/>					

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

Performance Appraisal

Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEV/TC Name: Johnston, David West Visit Dates(Cycle): 11/23/2008-11/25/2008
 Institution: Nebraska-Lincoln, University of Program Name: Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (BS)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> **Interpersonally Skilled** >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> Final

Interpersonally Skilled Performance Indicators:

- Was effective in interactions with program, faculty, staff and students
- Demonstrated an open-minded approach in assessing program
- Demonstrated ability to articulate difficult observations in a diplomatic manner

If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.

If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)

If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)

If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Copyright© ABET, Inc.

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Please provide your response to each of the competencies using the scale below. For any rating of 1 or 2 you must explain your rationale for the rating under "Comments".

	Needs Improvement 1	2	Met Expectations 3	4	Exceeded Expectations 5	Not able to evaluate
Interactions	<input type="radio"/> Did not demonstrate effective interactions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Was effective in interactions with program, faculty, staff and students	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Exceptional ability to diffuse potential or real conflict when discussing strengths and weaknesses	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Bias	<input type="radio"/> Was biased in assessing program	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated an open-minded approach in assessing program	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Exceptional ability to make judgments in the context of the institution	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Diplomacy	<input type="radio"/> Abrasive and combative toward team members or institution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated ability to articulate difficult observations in a diplomatic manner	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Was forthright and courageous in making the tough call	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

Performance Appraisal

Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEV/TC Name: Johnston, David West **Visit Dates(Cycle):** 11/23/2008-11/25/2008
Institution: Nebraska-Lincoln, University of **Program Name:** Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (BS)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> **Team Oriented >>** Organized >> Professional >> Final

Team Oriented Performance Indicators:

- Demonstrated a willingness to listen to other views during team meetings
- Demonstrated a willingness to help other team members during the visit
- Worked collaboratively with team members to reach consensus

If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.
 If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)
 If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)
 If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Copyright© ABET, Inc.

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Please provide your response to each of the competencies using the scale below. For any rating of 1 or 2 you must explain your rationale for the rating under "Comments".

	Needs Improvement 1	2	Met Expectations 3	4	Exceeded Expectations 5	Not able to evaluate
Willingness to listen	<input type="radio"/> Interrupted others and tended to monopolize conversation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated a willingness to listen to other views during team meetings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Encouraged others to express their viewpoints	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Willingness to help	<input type="radio"/> Only focused on own program and did not volunteer to help others	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated a willingness to help other team members during the visit	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Consistently offered to help others on the team if needed	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Collaboration	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated limited ability to see other perspective or find common ground	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Worked collaboratively with team members to reach consensus	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Exceptional ability to help group members find common ground and resolve conflict in order to ultimately reach consensus	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						

Done Internet

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

Performance Appraisal

Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEV/TC Name: Johnston, David West **Visit Dates(Cycle):** 11/23/2008-11/25/2008
Institution: Nebraska-Lincoln, University of **Program Name:** Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (BS)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> **Organized >>** Professional >> Final

Organized Performance Indicators:

- Demonstrated preparedness in completing all pre-visit tasks in a timely manner
- Effectively managed time on campus
- Submitted documentation to Team Chair on time
- Demonstrated effective organization in the evaluation process from first contact until program report was submitted

If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.
 If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)
 If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)
 If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Copyright © ABET, Inc.

Done Internet

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

Please provide your response to each of the competencies using the scale below. For any rating of 1 or 2 you must explain your rationale for the rating under "Comments".

	Needs Improvement 1	2	Met Expectations 3	4	Exceeded Expectations 5	Not able to evaluate
Pre-visit	<input type="radio"/> Was not prepared when arrived on campus	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated preparedness in completing all pre-visit tasks in a timely manner	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated preparedness on pre-visit task and communicated pro-actively to Team Chair and/or team members ahead of time	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Time management	<input type="radio"/> Did not give program sufficient time to prepare for additional requests	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Effectively managed time on campus	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Exceptionally organized and efficient during the site visit	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Responsiveness	<input type="radio"/> Was late with materials and unresponsive to Team Chair	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Submitted documentation to Team Chair on time	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Documentation was received in advance of deadlines	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Organization	<input type="radio"/> Was unorganized in every aspect of the process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated effective organization in the evaluation process from first contact until program report was submitted	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Was exceptionally efficient, timely and responsive throughout the entire process	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

ABET Performance Appraisal

Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEV/TC Name: Johnston, David West Visit Dates(Cycle): 11/23/2008-11/25/2008
 Institution: Nebraska-Lincoln University of Program Name: Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (BS)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> **Professional >> Final**

Professional Performance Indicators:

- Showed respect for the institution
- Showed respect for institution during exit meeting
- Upheld ABET's Code of Conduct at all times
- Demonstrated professional judgment in evaluating the program

If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.

If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)

If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)

If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Copyright© ABET, Inc.

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

Please provide your response to each of the competencies using the scale below. For any rating of 1 or 2 you must explain your rationale for the rating under "Comments".

	Needs Improvement 1	2	Met Expectations 3	4	Exceeded Expectations 5	Not able to evaluate
Respect	<input type="radio"/> Showed little regard for the institution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Showed respect for the institution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated high regard for institutional representatives during interviews	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Behavior	<input type="radio"/> Did not represent ABET well during exit meeting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Showed respect for institution during exit meeting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated superior ability to show respect for institution during the exit meeting under tough conditions	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Conduct	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated inappropriate conduct for an ABET PEV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Upheld ABET's Code of Conduct at all times	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Provided leadership for team members in questions of appropriateness of conduct	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						
Judgment	<input type="radio"/> Evaluated program based on personal opinion, not criteria	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Demonstrated professional judgment in evaluating the program	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Excellent professional judgment in interpretation of criteria and program performance	<input type="radio"/> Not able to evaluate
Comments: <input type="text"/>						

Done Internet

ABET Performance Appraisal - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by ABET, Inc.

Address: http://abethome.abet.org/Evaluation/EvaluationForm.aspx?Type=1

ABET Performance Appraisal

Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEV/TC Name: Johnston, David West Visit Dates(Cycle): 11/23/2008-11/25/2008
 Institution: Nebraska-Lincoln, University of Program Name: Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (BS)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> **Final**

1. Would you like to work with this PEV again?
 Yes
 No

2. Select one
 I would not recommend this PEV as a team chair.
 I would recommend this PEV as a team chair with more experience.
 This PEV has demonstrated exceptional performance and knowledge and should be considered as a team chair.

Comments:

[Review Rating](#) (Please turn off pop-up blocker)

Copyright© ABET, Inc.

Internet