TC Evaluation of PEV

Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEV/TC Names: Johnatan, David West
Institution: University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Program Name: Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Intergenerally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> Final

Technically Current Performance Indicators:
- Demonstrated knowledge of general criteria
- Demonstrated knowledge of program criteria
- Demonstrated knowledge of accreditation policies and procedures
- Quality of statement reflected technical competence

○ If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.
○ If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)
○ If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)
○ If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Next
## Performance Appraisal

**Team Chair’s Appraisal of Program Evaluator**

**PEV/TC Name:** Jonathan David West  
**Institution:** Nebraska-Lincoln University of  
**Program Name:** Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (ES)

**Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> Final**

### Effective Communicator Performance Indicators:
- Provided updates to Team Chair when requested.
- Written exit statement was effectively done. Key points were highlighted.
- Effectively communicated the most important points during the exit meeting.
- Was non-prescriptive in suggestions aimed at encouraging innovation and continuous improvement.

- If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.
- If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)
- If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)
- If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

---

### Knowledge of General Criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Met Expectations</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Exceeded Expectations</th>
<th>Not able to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some misunderstandings of general criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrated knowledge of general criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exceptional ability to explain general criteria to others</td>
<td>Not able to evaluate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Knowledge of Program Criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Met Expectations</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Exceeded Expectations</th>
<th>Not able to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some misunderstandings of program criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrated knowledge of program criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exceptional ability to explain program criteria to others</td>
<td>Not able to evaluate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Knowledge of Accreditation Policies and Procedures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Met Expectations</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Exceeded Expectations</th>
<th>Not able to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some misunderstandings of accreditation policies and procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrated knowledge of accreditation policies and procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exceptional ability to explain accreditation policies and procedures to others</td>
<td>Not able to evaluate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

### Technically Current:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Met Expectations</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Not able to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Was unaware of technical advances in their professional field</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of statement reflected technical competence</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not able to evaluate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

---

**Cancel and Back to Main**
Team Chair's Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEVTC Name: John Doe
Institution: University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Program Name: Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (ES)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> Final

Interpersonally Skilled Performance Indicators:
- Was effective in interactions with program, faculty, staff, and students
- Demonstrated an open-minded approach in assessing program
- Demonstrated ability to articulate difficult observations in a diplomatic manner

If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.
If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)
If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)
If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.
If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.

Please provide your response to each of the competencies using the scale below. For any rating of 1 or 2 you must explain your rationale for the rating under "Comments".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interactions</th>
<th>Needs Improvement 1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Met Expectations 3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Exceeded Expectations 5</th>
<th>Unable to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did not demonstrate effective interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>Needs Improvement 1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Met Expectations 3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Exceeded Expectations 5</th>
<th>Unable to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Was biased in assessing program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diplomacy</th>
<th>Needs Improvement 1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Met Expectations 3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Exceeded Expectations 5</th>
<th>Unable to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abusive and combative toward team members or institution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: 

Team Chair’s Appraisal of Program Evaluator

PEVTIC Name: Johnatan David West
Institution: Nebraska-Lincoln University of
Program Name: Construction Engineering (Orasha Campus) (09)

Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> Final

Team Oriented Performance Indicators:
- Demonstrated a willingness to listen to other views during team meetings
- Demonstrated a willingness to help other team members during the visit
- Worked collaboratively with team members to reach consensus

☐ If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.
☐ If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)
☐ If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)
☐ If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.
Please provide your response to each of the competencies using the scale below. For any rating of 1 or 2 you must explain your rationale for the rating under "Comments".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-visit</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Not able to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time management</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Not able to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsiveness</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Not able to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Not able to evaluate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Team Chair’s Appraisal of Program Evaluator**

**PEVTC Name:** Johnatan David West  
**Institution:** Nebraska-Lincoln University of  
**Program Name:** Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (CS)

**Technically Current >> Effective Communicator >> Interpersonally Skilled >> Team Oriented >> Organized >> Professional >> Final**

**Professional Performance Indicators:**
- Showed respect for the institution  
- Showed respect for institution during exit meeting  
- Upheld ABET’s Code of Conduct at all times  
- Demonstrated professional judgment in evaluating the program

- [ ] If PEV or TC met expectations on all of the indicators, select this.  
- [ ] If PEV or TC needs improvement on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments required)  
- [ ] If PEV or TC exceeds expectations on any of the above indicators, select this. (Comments are helpful)  
- [ ] If you are unable to evaluate on this category, select this.
## Respect
- **Needs Improvement (1)**: Sh owed little regard for the institution.
- **Met Expectations (3)**: Sh owed respect for the institution.
- **Exceeded Expectations (4)**: Demonstr ated high regard for institutional representatives during interviews.
- **Not able to evaluate**

**Comments:**

## Behavior
- **Needs Improvement (1)**: Did not represent ABET well during exit meeting.
- **Met Expectations (3)**: Sh owed respect for institution during exit meeting.
- **Exceeded Expectations (4)**: Demonstr ated superior ab ility to show respect for institution during the exit meeting under tough conditions.
- **Not able to evaluate**

**Comments:**

## Conduct
- **Needs Improvement (1)**: Demonstr ated inappropriate conduct for an ABET PEV.
- **Met Expectations (3)**: Upheld ABET’s Code of Conduct at all times.
- **Exceeded Expectations (4)**: Provided leadership for team members in questions of appropriateness of conduct.
- **Not able to evaluate**

**Comments:**

## Judgment
- **Needs Improvement (1)**: Evaluated program based on personal opinion, not criteria.
- **Met Expectations (3)**: Demonstr ated professional judgment in evaluating the program.
- **Exceeded Expectations (4)**: Excellent professional judgment in interpretation of criteria and program performance.
- **Not able to evaluate**

**Comments:**

---

### Team Chair’s Appraisal of Program Evaluator

- **Program Name:** Construction Engineering (Omaha Campus) (OS)

1. Would you like to work with this PEV again?
   - Yes
   - No

2. Select one:
   - I would not recommend this PEV as a team chair.
   - I would recommend this PEV as a team chair with more experience.
   - This PEV has demonstrated exceptional performance and knowledge and should be considered as a team chair.

**Comments:**

**Review Rating** (Please turn off pop-up blocker)